2023- 2024 San Joaquin County Civil Grand Jury



2	OF SAN J
3	
4	City of Tracy: Public Trust Still Not Restored
5	Case #0323
6	Summary
7	The City of Tracy has been plagued with a city council that cannot form a civil, cohesive governing
8	body willing to set aside differences and personal agendas for the benefit of City residents. The
9	2018-2019 Civil Grand Jury issued a report entitled "Restore the Public Trust". That investigation
10	highlighted the inability of the Tracy City Council to work together cohesively, manifested by
11	unprofessional behavior towards each other during public meetings. In addition, a consistent 3/2
12	voting block existed leading to the termination or forced resignation of a City Manager, Assistant
13	City Manager, and Police Chief. The unexplained departures of the City's administrative leaders
14	created an unstable working environment at City Hall.
15	

The most significant recommendation in that report was for the Council to establish a Code of 16 Conduct to guide them on how to work together more effectively and respectfully. To reduce the 17 impact of a 3/2 voting bloc, a recommendation was made to require a supermajority council vote to 18 approve the removal of the City Manager or the City Attorney. The supermajority vote requirement 19

was approved as well as the adoption of a Code of Conduct. Although it has had numerous 20

revisions, the Code of Conduct has not led to improved relationships between Council members, nor
has it had a positive impact on the effective leadership of the Council.

Unfortunately, the same problems noted in the 2018-2019 Grand Jury Report continue to exist today.
The 2022-2023 Civil Grand Jury received a complaint regarding the continued personal animus

25 between council members displayed openly and unprofessionally at council meetings. The source of

26 many disagreements revolved around philosophical differences in Tracy's growth, leading to a lack

of consistent vision of Tracy's future and distrust between council members and developers. History

repeated itself as evidenced by the Council's actions that led to the May 2023 resignation of the City

29 Manager. This resulted in a void in City management and leadership. As a consequence, there was a

30 disruption in the efficient delivery of City services. The continuing vacant and temporary senior

31 administrative positions have also led to inefficiency in serving and responding to the public.

32

Adding to this disruption was an effort by the City Attorney to revamp City agreements and the format of staff reports. The City Attorney's inability to retain staff has resulted in significant delays in the work product from that office. These delays have increased public frustration in working with the City, resulting in project delays, increased costs, and a negative impact on the City of Tracy's reputation in the business community. This has resulted in a loss of business opportunities.

38

Through its investigation, the 2023-2024 San Joaquin County Civil Grand Jury has identified
opportunities for implementing changes that hopefully, this time, can ensure a more harmonious and
productive City Council and improve the morale and efficiency of city staff.

42

43

44

Background

The City of Tracy has experienced significant growth of 8.38% since the 2020 census and is the second-largest city in San Joaquin County. Its proximity to the densely populated Bay Area has created opportunities to provide more affordable housing options. The availability of land has enabled the development of housing as well as growth in warehouse distribution centers.

40	The City of Tracy operates under the Council-Manager form of government, as outlined in the Tracy
49	
50	Municipal Code section 2.08.060. It designates the five-member City Council as the governing body
51	of the City, vested with the authority to establish policy, adopt new laws, levy taxes, award
52	contracts, and appoint the City Manager and City Attorney. Council members are limited to serving
53	two four-year terms; the office of Mayor is limited to two two-year terms. The Mayor is the fifth
54	member of the Council and presides over meetings and acts as a figurehead at various city functions.
55	Council members are responsible to the people for the actions of local government. In the Council-
56	Manager form of municipal government, the Council appoints the City Manager who is responsible
57	for the day-to-day operations of City business. The City Attorney is also appointed by the Council
58	and serves as the primary legal advisor to the City.
59	
60	Reason for Investigation
61	The 2023-2024 Civil Grand Jury received complaints claiming unprofessional conduct and
62	animosity by Council members, unprofessional conduct by the City Attorney, and a return of "power
63	politics" resulting in public discord, resignations of senior staff, and low morale of City employees.
64	
65	Method of Investigation
66	
67	Materials Reviewed
68	San Joaquin County 2018-2019 Civil Grand Jury Report, Tracy City Council: Restore the Public
69	Trust, Case 0418
70	2019-2020 Civil Grand Jury Report, Follow-Up Report, Tracy City Council: Restore the Public
71	Trust
72	City of Tracy Code of Conduct, October 15, 2019, revisions: July 21, 2020, February 10, 2021,
73	October 19, 2021, and June 6, 2023
74	City of Tracy: Council Meeting Protocols and Rules of Procedure
75	City Council meeting videos and minutes
76	City of Tracy Municipal Code
77	Rosenberg's Rules of Order

78	Executive staff performance reviews
79	Executive staff employment contracts
80	Online Archives: Tracy Press, The Stockton Record
81	California League of Cities: Counsel and Council
82	California League of Cities: Western City Magazine
83	Institute for Local Government: Ethics and Transparency (AB1234)
84	
85	Interviews Conducted
86	Former and current City of Tracy elected and appointed officials, commissioners, and employees
87	Tracy Citizens
88	Residential/Commercial Developers
89	San Joaquin County employee
90	
91	Discussions, Findings, and Recommendations
92	
93	1.0 Discussion: City Council
94	Through their unprofessional behavior and inability to work cohesively, members of the Tracy City
95	Council have been unable to govern effectively and have failed the citizens of the city they have
96	pledged to serve.
97	
98	The City Council violated the Brown Act by posting and discussing personnel performance issues on
98 99	an open Council agenda. The 2018 San Joaquin County Civil Grand Jury recommended: "City
100	Manager and City Attorney should be shielded from power politics and shifting alliances by
100	requiring a supermajority (4/1) vote for their termination". This recommendation was accepted and
101	implemented. In 2023, three members of the City Council attempted to change the ordinance
102	
102	
10/	requiring a supermajority vote to a simple majority vote to remove only the City Manager but were not successful. This resulted in another costly resignation
104	not successful. This resulted in another costly resignation.
104 105	

107	Findings
108 109	F1.1: Through their unprofessional behavior and inability to work cohesively, members of the Tracy City Council have been unable to govern effectively.
110 111	F1.2: Tracy City Council has violated the Brown Act in multiple ways: discussing personnel issues in an open meeting and posting personnel issues on an open Council agenda.
112 113 114	F1.3: Some Tracy City Council members have created a toxic work environment within City Hall by spreading accusations of corruption and alleged illegal activities by upper management staff and other Council members.
115	F1.4: Tracy City Council's behavior has resulted in poor morale within City Hall.
116 117 118	F1.5: The City Council created a void in city administration and leadership through the resignation of another City Manager. It should be noted that there have been six city managers and four city attorneys in the past five years.
119 120 121	F1.6: The unprofessional Council behavior during Council meetings has negatively impacted the City's reputation, affecting new business development and recruitment of potential city employees.
122 123	F1.7: Members of the City Council attempted to undermine the ordinance requiring a supermajority vote to remove the City Manager to a simple majority vote.
124 125	F1.8: There are no established measurable performance goals and objectives for the City Manager and City Attorney; therefore the City Council cannot conduct effective annual evaluations.
126 127 128	F1.9: Serving as Parliamentarian for Council meetings puts the City Attorney in a conflicting situation. Each decision by the Parliamentarian can be seen as partial to one side or the other.
129	Recommendations
130	R1.1: By October 1, 2024, members of the City Council need in-depth and continuous training on
131	the understanding and the effective utilization of the City of Tracy Code of Conduct, City of
132	Tracy Council Meeting Protocols and Rules of Procedures, and Rosenberg's Rules. Members
133	of the City Council and the City Attorney should refer to the League of California Cities:
134	Counsel and Council: A Guide to Building a Productive City <u>http://www.calcities.org/</u> 5

R1.2-R1.6: By October 1, 2024, members of the City Council need in-depth and continuous
training in understanding the requirements of the Brown Act.

- R1.7: By October 1, 2024, City Council should approve an ordinance requiring a supermajority vote
 to modify any ordinance requiring a 4/1 vote. Additionally, a 90-day public notice to change
 this vote requirement ordinance should be mandatory.
- R1.8: By October 1, 2024, the employment contracts for the City Manager and the City Attorney
 should require individual performance goals and objectives established within 90 days of
 hire. These goals and objectives should be evaluated annually.
- **R1.9:** By October 1, 2024, if a Parliamentarian is deemed necessary at City Council meetings, the
 position should be held by an independent third party.

146

147 2.0 Discussion: City Attorney

The City Attorney determined it was necessary to correct past practices that did not, in her opinion, adequately provide legal protection to the city. These corrections would require a great deal of time. Compounding this problem are reports by city employees that the City Attorney mistrusts their ability to do their job. As a result, the City Attorney spends a significant amount of time reviewing and modifying work from various departments. It has been reported that the City Attorney has difficulty in delegating and prioritizing their department's workload. This has caused delays in the timely processing of city work product.

155 City employees reported that they were publicly berated, belittled, and humiliated by the City

156 Attorney. The City Attorney's apparent lack of confidence in employees, and unsubstantiated

accusations of illegal activities by employees have created an atmosphere of fear and low morale.

158 This has resulted in the loss of numerous staff members which has impeded the provision of services

159 for the citizens of Tracy.

160

161

163	Findings
164 165	F 2.1: Employees reported the work backlog created by updating past practices has negatively impacted the public because of delays in residential and/or commercial agreements with the
166	City.
167 168	F2.2: The City Attorney's difficulty in delegating and prioritizing the workload has caused a delay in the timely processing of City work products.
169 170	F2.3: Interviews with former employees indicated that the Tracy City Attorney's office is understaffed compared to cities of similar population.
171 172 173	F2.4: The current Code of Conduct limits the hiring of outside legal counsel to only the City Attorney. This ties the hands of the City of Tracy when the City Attorney is not available to meet the duties of her office.
174 175 176	F2.5: Reported unprofessional behavior by the City Attorney in dealing with city staff has led to low morale and staff resignations.
177	Recommendations
178 179	R2.1: By October 1, 2024, an outside legal firm should be engaged to help expedite the current work backlog in the City Attorney's office.
180 181	R2.2: By October 1, 2024, the office of the City Attorney should develop and utilize standardized agreements to streamline the review and approval processes.
182 183	R2.3: By October 1, 2024, the City of Tracy should budget for and hire additional City Attorney staff.
184 185 186 187	R2.4: By October 1, 2024, the Code of Conduct regarding the hiring of outside counsel should be amended to allow other city officials to hire outside counsel if the City Attorney is unable to perform their duties, or if the legal issue being addressed gives the appearance of a potential conflict of interest.
188 189	R2.5: By October 1, 2024, the City Attorney should be given training in personnel management. The City Attorney's actions should be consistent with the League of California Cities:

190 191	Counsel and Council Guide: <u>https://www.calcities.org/docs/default-source/city-attorneys/cc-counsel-</u> council-2022-ver4.pdf
192	In particular Principal 5:
193 194 195 196	"The city attorney should conduct himself/herself at all times in a professional and dignified manner, interacting with all elected officials, city staff, members of the public, and the media with courtesy and respect."
197	3.0 Discussion: Council Hired Staff
198 199	The City of Tracy's Human Resources Department (HR) has a grievance process for employees to file complaints. There is a potential conflict of interest in the process for employees who work for
200	the City Attorney or the City Manager. If a grievance is filed against the City Attorney or the City
201	Manager, HR does not have the authority to assist the employee because these positions are overseen
202	by the City Council, not the HR department. If a complaint is filed against the City Attorney, the
203	City Attorney has the sole authority to hire outside counsel for an investigation, if warranted. If this
204	is not a conflict of interest, there is an appearance of one.
205	
206	Findings
207 208	F3.1: The City of Tracy does not have a clear process for filing complaints against council-hired staff.
209	
210	Recommendations
211	
212	R3.1: By December 31, 2024, the City of Tracy shall establish a confidential process for employee
213	complaints against council-hired staff. The process should include a third-party vendor to
214	assure complete confidentiality.
215	

216	Glossary
217	• City Council, Council members: For this report, these terms are interchangeable
218	and generally refer to the entire five-member Tracy City Council, including the Mayor.
219	
220	• Council-Manager form of Government: A form of municipal government in which the city
221	manager functions as the chief executive of the city, overseeing the day-to-day operations of
222	the city, and serving as the chief advisor to the city council.
223	
224	• Ethics Policy, Code of Conduct, Code of Ethics and Conduct: A set of principles used to
225	guide conduct and decision making. For this report these terms are interchangeable.
226	
227	• League of California Cities: An association of cities within the state of California that
228	provides education, research, support, and advocacy to member cities.
229	$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{n}}$
230	• Power politics: Political action by a person or group which makes use or is intended to increase their power or influence.
231 232	increase their power or influence.
232	• Supermajority: In the case of the Tracy City Council, at least four out of five members
234	Supermujority. In the case of the fluey endy council, at feast four out of five memories
235	• Voting Bloc: In the case of the Tracy City Council, a group of votes, three to two, for a
236	common agenda which dominates their voting pattern.
237	
238	Conclusion
239	The citizens of Tracy cannot fully enjoy the benefits of a well-run city government if basic standards
240	of civil behavior are not adhered to. With the continued dysfunction amongst elected officials, the
241	public is the ultimate victim. The City Council must abide by the Tracy City Council Code of
242	Conduct if public trust can ever be restored.

Disclaimers 244 245 Grand Jury reports are based on documentary evidence and the testimony of sworn or admonished witnesses, not on conjecture or opinion. However, the Grand Jury is precluded by law from 246 disclosing such evidence except upon the specific approval of the Presiding Judge of the Superior 247 Court, or another judge appointed by the Presiding Judge (Penal Code Section 911. 924.1 (a) and 248 929). Similarly, the Grand Jury is precluded by law from disclosing the identity of witnesses except 249 upon an order of the court for narrowly defined purposes (Penal Code Sections 924.2 and 929). 250 This report was issued by the Grand Jury except for two members of the jury. These jurors were 251 excluded from all parts of the investigation including interviews, presentations, deliberations, and 252 the development and acceptance of the report. 253 **Response Requirements** 254 California Penal Code Sections 933 and 933.05 require that specific responses to all findings and 255 recommendations contained in this report be submitted to the Presiding Judge of the San Joaquin 256 County Superior Court within 90 days of receipt of the report. 257 Note: If the responder is an elected official, the response must be sent within 60 days of receipt. The 258 259 Tracy City Council shall respond to all findings and recommendations. Mail or hand deliver a hard copy of the response to: 260 Honorable Gus C. Barrera II, Presiding Judge 261 San Joaquin County Superior Court 262 180 E Weber Ave, Suite 1306J 263 Stockton, California 95202 264 Also, please email a copy of the response to Irving Jimenez, Staff Secretary to the Grand Jury, at 265 civilgrandjury@sjcourts.org 266